

ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

DATE: August 25, 2009

CALLED TO ORDER: 5:36 p.m.

ADJOURNED: 7:59 p.m.

ATTENDANCE

ATTENDING MEMBERS

Marilyn Pfisterer
Paul Bateman
Susie Day
Barbara Malone
Joanne Sanders

ABSENT MEMBERS

Jackie Nytes
Ryan Vaughn

AGENDA

PROPOSAL NO. 310, 2009 - proposes an ordinance of the Marion County Income Tax Council increasing and confirming the homestead credit and confirming and extending the tax rates of the County Option Income Tax effective October 1, 2009

“Do Pass as Amended”

Vote: 4-0

PROPOSAL NO. 311, 2009 - provides for additional appropriations and transfers in the 2009 Budget for various city and county agencies affecting various city and county funds to provide for continued operations and services of agencies

“Do Pass as Amended”

Vote: 5-0

BUDGET HEARING

Information Services Agency/CIO's Office
County Assessor
Telecom & Video Services Agency
Building Authority

ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

The Administration and Finance Committee of the City-County Council met on Tuesday, August 25, 2009. Chairwoman Marilyn Pfisterer called the meeting to order at 5:36 p.m. with the following members present: Susie Day, Barbara Malone, and Joanne Sanders. Paul Bateman arrived shortly thereafter. Jackie Nytes and Ryan Vaughn were absent. Also present were Councillor Mary Moriarty Adams and Jon Elrod, Attorney, representing the General Counsel of the City-County Council.

PROPOSAL NO. 310, 2009 - proposes an ordinance of the Marion County Income Tax Council increasing and confirming the homestead credit and confirming and extending the tax rates of the County Option Income Tax effective October 1, 2009

David Reynolds, City Controller, said that they just concluded the first meeting of the Marion County Income Tax Council, which is required to meet prior to the Administration and Finance Committee taking action on Proposal No. 310, 2009 to discuss the income tax rate that will be in effect for the coming year. He said that this a new requirement from the property tax reforms from 2008. He said that the Income Tax Council will need to meet annually to confirm the income tax rates and make a determination of whether or not the property tax levy that was put in place for 2008 and 2009 continue.

Mr. Reynolds explained the amendment to Proposal No. 310, 2009, which is attached as Exhibit A. He said that SECTION 1 confirms the local homestead credit for the calendar year 2010 and thereafter at the rate of eight percent (8.00%). SECTION 2 confirms the county option income tax (COIT) rate effective July 1, 2009, and thereafter, at the rate of one percent (1%). SECTION 3 reduces the additional COIT rate, effective from and after October 1, 2009, to the rate of two thousand six hundred fourteen ten-thousandths percent (0.2614%). SECTION 4 confirms the additional income tax rate for public safety, effective from and after October 1, 2009, at the rate of thirty-five hundredths of one percent (0.35%). SECTION 5 establishes the additional COIT rate, effective from and after October 1, 2009, at the rate of eighty-six ten-thousandths percent (.0086%) for the purpose of a uniformly applied homestead credit. Mr. Reynolds said back in 2007, the Council initiated this new rate of .0086%, which was set by the state. He said that this rate was set to provide a revenue source to replace the historic growth in property taxes, including the levy that was for the Family and Children's Fund. In 2009, the state took over that Family and Children's Fund Levy and made it so any of that rate that was set to replace that levy growth had to be given back to property taxpayers in one of three ways: as a property tax replacement credit against all residential properties, as a property tax replacement credit against all Marion County properties, or as an additional homestead credit applied uniformly across the county. He said that this proposal would return approximately \$1.6 million of income tax revenue back to taxpayers in the form of uniformly applied homestead credit. He said that the rate was set in 2007 a for two-year period.

The rate was set at .2% in 2008 and generated approximately \$35 million and was set at .27% in 2009 and generated approximately \$50 million, which is the current rate. He said that in 2008 they distributed just under \$20 million to all the units of local government to replace

what historically had been property tax levy growth. Mr. Reynolds said in 2009, the projected reserve balance is just under \$30 million. He said that if action is taken on the proposal the revenues stay flat because the rate stays at .27% and the allocation increases in 2010 and exceeds the revenue, which will cause the City to spend that reserve. Over a period of time, the city will spend that down. He said that each year, the Income Tax Council will have to determine if the property tax levy freeze will continue.

Councillor Sanders asked if the General Assembly could take some type of action that the Council will have to follow. Mr. Reynolds answered in the affirmative. He said that it is all subject to the General Assembly.

Pat Andrews, Marion County Alliance of Neighborhood Associations (McANA), asked where in the budget it is reflected the homestead credit would be given back to the property taxpayers. Mr. Reynolds said that it is within the miscellaneous revenues of each property tax supported agency's budget, which is defined as Local Option Income Tax (LOIT) miscellaneous revenues.

Councillor Sanders moved, seconded by Councillor Day, to "Amend" Proposal No. 310, 2009 per the amendment attached as Exhibit A. The motion carried by a vote of 4-0.

Councillor Day moved, seconded by Councillor Sanders, to send Proposal No. 310, 2009 to the full Council with a "Do Pass as Amended" recommendation. The motion carried by a vote of 4-0.

PROPOSAL NO. 311, 2009 - provides for additional appropriations and transfers in the 2009 Budget for various city and county agencies affecting various city and county funds to provide for continued operations and services of agencies

Councillor Day read the amendment to Proposal No. 311, 2009, which is attached as Exhibit B.

[Clerk's note: Councillors Bateman arrived at 5:58 p.m.]

Jason Dudich, Deputy Controller, said that the Marion County Sheriff's Department's (MCSD) portion of the amendment is simply a late addition of some additional federal grant funds that they anticipate spending in 2009, which is not a part of their 2009 original appropriation of federal grant funds. He said that those funds will be used for some law enforcement purchases and training. The Indianapolis/Marion County Police Department's (IMPD) portion is also a late adjustment of funds for 2009. He said that Valerie Washington, Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of the Department of Public Safety (DPS), wanted to adjust some transfer dollars between some of the Characters to adequately cover their costs for 2009. He said that these are minor adjustments to the original ordinance based on some additional information.

Councillor Sanders asked what the \$3.3 million will be used for. Ms. Washington said that the issue with IMPD is covering the 27th pay. She said that IMPD and the Indianapolis Fire Department (IFD) have a 27th pay in 2009 that was not budgeted for when the 2009 budget

was prepared. She said that they have been trying to reserve funds to transfer to Character 01 because of this shortfall. She said that they found some savings in the fuel budget and were going to transfer \$2.1 million into Character 01. She said that with some additional searching they found \$200,000 in the supply budget and an additional \$750,000 in Character 05 that could be moved to Character 01 to help with the 27th pay. She said that the \$3.3 million is not related at all to the COPS Grant. Councillor Sanders asked if the \$3.3 million will cover any additional costs that are needed. Ms. Washington said that there should not be any additional cost, and this funding will take care of the 27th pay for IMPD and IFD. Councillor Sanders asked how the overtime budget is for 2009. Ms. Washington said that the overtime budget is going to be very tight this year. She said that they are relying on federal grant funding to get DPS through the end of 2009. She said that they are being more efficient with the overtime.

Councillor Day moved, seconded by Councillor Malone, to “Amend” Proposal No. 311, 2009 per the amendment attached as Exhibit B. The motion carried by a vote of 5-0.

Mr. Dudich gave a brief presentation on Proposal 311, 2009, which is attached as Exhibit C. Some key points are:

- Proposal No. 311, 2009 provides for additional funds to various city and county agencies and provides for transfers among characters within city and county agencies’ 2009 budgets.
- This proposal only amends the 2009 budget ordinance
- Sections 3 through 12 appropriate approximately \$16.3 million, and Sections 13 and 14 deal with transfers of appropriations between major spending characters. There is no fiscal impact.
- The final debt payment to the State for housing juveniles will be appropriated from the County Administrator’s budget, which will eliminate the levy in 2010.
- The Office of Finance and Management (OFM) has historically covered interest paid from the City Cumulative Capital Development Fund related to Tax Anticipation Warrants (TWA) due to multiple agencies using this fund.

Mr. Dudich said that if this ordinance passes, the fund balances in the proposed budgets would already reflect this. If not, there would be an impact on the fund balances.

Councillor Sanders asked if the \$2,038,000 came from the City Cumulative Fund. Mr. Dudich said that the City Cumulative Fund did have an appropriation for IFD, but because there is money that is expected to come into the Fire Cumulative Fund from the levy, the Fire Cumulative Fund will have a fund balance by the end of 2009. They are not shifting the dollars, just the appropriation.

Councillor Sanders referred to Section 12 and asked for an explanation of the personal services increase in the Mayor’s Office. Mr. Dudich said that this is related to a shortfall in Character 01, which will cover their salaries for the remainder of the year. Mr. Reynolds said that those increases are for the additional staff that was added to the Mayor’s Action

Center (MAC) for outbound calls. Councillor Sanders asked what the yearly salary is for those positions. Mr. Reynolds said it is low to mid twenty-thousand. Chris Cotterill, Corporation Counsel (OCC) said that when the Ethics Ordinance passed, it included a requirement that they train all employees, appointees, and officials. Rather than contract that position out, they determined that hiring someone for that period would make more sense. He said that they were short in Character 01 by \$47,000, and that single person has trained about 6,500 employees. He said that it would have cost a lot more if that service was contracted out. Councillor Sanders asked if the position is a temporary position. Mr. Cotterill said that it is temporary in a sense that it is not forever, but it is a full-time position.

Councillor Day moved, seconded by Councillor Malone, to send Proposal No. 311, 2009 to the full Council with a "Do Pass as Amended" recommendation. The motion carried by a vote of 5-0.

(Note: The budget presentation portion of the meeting will be distributed at a later date.)

Respectfully Submitted,


Marilyn Pfisterer, Chairwoman

MP/rjp

MOTION

Madam Chairwoman:

I move that City-County Council Proposal No. 310, 2009, be amended by the deletion of the language that is stricken-through, and by the addition of the language that is underscored, to read as follows:


Councillor

A PROPOSAL FOR A RESOLUTION of the City-County Council of Indianapolis and of Marion County, Indiana proposing an ordinance of the Marion County Income Tax Council ~~increasing and confirming~~ the homestead credit under IC 6-3.5-6-13 and confirming and extending the tax rates pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-8, IC 6-3.5-6-30 and ~~IC 6-3.5-6-31, and IC 6-3.5-6-32~~ of the County Option Income Tax effective October 1, 2009, and to cast the vote of the City-County Council on said ordinance.

WHEREAS, the Indiana General Assembly established the County Option Income Tax pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6, *et seq.*; and

WHEREAS, IC 6-3.5-6-2 established the Marion County Income Tax Council; and

WHEREAS, the members of the Marion County Income Tax Council are the City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and of Marion County, the City Council of Beech Grove, the City Council of the City of Lawrence, the City Council of Southport, and the Town Council of Speedway; and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-8, the Marion County Income Tax Council imposed the county option income tax in 1984 and by subsequent actions increased the rate to its present rate of one (1) percent; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-13 the Marion County Income Tax Council adopted a local homestead credit for taxpayers in Marion County, which is now eight percent (8%); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-30, the Marion County Income Tax Council adopted an ordinance imposing an additional tax rate in Marion County, which rate is now twenty-seven hundredths of one percent (0.27%); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-31, the Marion County Income Tax Council adopted an additional income tax rate for public safety, which rate is now thirty-five hundredths of one percent (0.35%); and

WHEREAS, the City-County Council wishes to propose an ordinance of the Marion County Income Tax Council to ~~increase and~~ extend the local homestead credit pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-13 and to confirm and extend the present county option income tax rate pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-8, IC 6-3.5-6-30, and IC 6-3.5-6-31, and IC 6-3.5-6-32; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council proposes an ordinance of the Marion County Income Tax Council pursuant to IC. 6-3.5-6.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council casts its 92.04 votes in favor of the proposed ordinance of the Marion County Income Tax Council which ordinance is attached hereto, as Exhibit A..

SECTION 3. The City Clerk of the City of Indianapolis is hereby ordered to deliver an original executed copy of this Resolution and the proposed ordinance of the Marion County Income Tax Council to the Auditor of Marion County forthwith so that the Auditor of Marion County shall deliver copies of said proposed ordinance to other members of the County Income Tax Council, namely, the City Council of the City of Beech Grove, the City Council of the City of Lawrence, the Town Council of the Town of Speedway and the City Council of the City of Southport, after receipt from the City Clerk and so that the other members of the Marion County Income Tax Council shall, after receipt from the Auditor of Marion County, vote on said proposed ordinance.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 36-3-4-14.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this _____ day of _____, 2009, at _____ p.m.

ATTEST:

Bob Cockrum
President, City-County Council

Melissa Thompson
Clerk, City-County Council

Presented by me to the Mayor this _____ day of _____, 2009, at 10:00 a.m.

Melissa Thompson
Clerk, City-County Council

Approved and signed by me this _____ day of _____, 2009.

Gregory Ballard, Mayor

EXHIBIT A

AN ORDINANCE OF THE MARION COUNTY INCOME TAX COUNCIL INCREASING AND CONFIRMING THE LOCAL HOMESTEAD CREDIT AND CONFIRMING AND EXTENDING THE COUNTY OPTION INCOME TAX RATES

WHEREAS, the Indiana General Assembly established the county option income tax pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6, *et seq.*; and

WHEREAS, IC 6-3.5-6-2 established the Marion County Income Tax Council; and

WHEREAS, the members of the Marion County Income Tax Council are the City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and of Marion County, the City Council of Beech Grove, the City Council of the City of Lawrence, the City Council of Southport, and the Town Council of Speedway; and,

WHEREAS, IC 6-3.5-6-8 permits the Marion County Income Tax Council to impose the county option income tax on county taxpayers; and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-8, the Marion County Income Tax Council imposed the county option income tax in 1984 and by subsequent actions increased the rate to its present rate of one (1) percent; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-13 the Marion County Income Tax Council adopted a local homestead credit for taxpayers in Marion County, which is now eight percent (8%); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-30, the Marion County Income Tax Council adopted an ordinance imposing an additional tax rate in Marion County, which rate is now twenty-seven hundredths of one percent (0.27%); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-31 the Marion County Income Tax Council adopted an additional income tax rate for public safety, which rate is now thirty-five hundredths of one percent (0.35%); and

WHEREAS, the City-County Council wishes to propose an ordinance of the Marion County Income Tax Council to increase and extend the local homestead credit pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-13 and to confirm and extend the present county option income tax rate pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-8, IC 6-3.5-6-30 and IC 6-3.5-6-31; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MARION COUNTY INCOME TAX COUNCIL

SECTION 1. Pursuant to ~~IC 6-3.5-6-13~~ IC 6-3.5-6-13, the Marion County Income Tax Council hereby ~~increases and confirms~~ the local homestead credit for the calendar year 2010 and thereafter at the rate of ~~eight and eighty-six ten-thousandths~~ eight percent (8.0086%).

SECTION 2. Pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-8, the Marion County Income Tax Council confirms the county option income tax rate effective July 1, 2009 and thereafter at the rate of one percent (1%).

SECTION 3. Pursuant to IC. 6-3.5-6-30, the Marion County Income Tax Council hereby ~~confirms reduces~~ the additional county option income tax rate effective from and after October 1, 2009 at to the rate of ~~twenty-seven hundredths of one percent (0.27%)~~ two thousand six hundred fourteen ten-thousandths percent (0.2614%).

SECTION 4. Pursuant to IC. 6-3.5-6-31, the Marion County Income Tax Council hereby confirms the additional income tax rate for public safety effective from and after October 1, 2009 at the rate of thirty-five -hundredths of one percent (0.35%).

SECTION 5. Pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-32, the Marion County Income Tax Council hereby establishes the additional county option income tax rate effective from and after October 1, 2009 at the rate of eighty-six ten-thousandths percent (.0086%) for the purpose of a uniformly applied homestead credit.

SECTION 5 6. The Auditor of Marion County shall send a certified copy of this ordinance to the Department of State Revenue and the Department of Local Government Finance by certified mail.

SECTION 6 7. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect on and after the first day of October, 2009.

ATTEST:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, IN

Clerk, City of Indianapolis

President, casting 92.04 votes

ATTEST:

CITYCOUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
BEECH GROVE, IN

Clerk, City of Beech Grove

President, casting 1.73 VOTES

ATTEST:

CITYCOUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
LAWRENCE, IN

Clerk, City of Lawrence

President, casting 4.52 votes

ATTEST:

CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
SOUTHPORT, IN

Clerk, City of Southport

President, casting 0.22 votes

ATTEST:

TOWN COUNCIL OF
SPEEDWAY, IN

Clerk, City of Speedway

President, casting 1.50 votes

Proposal No 311, 2009

MOTION TO AMEND

Madame Chairman:

I respectfully move to amend Proposal No. 311, 2009, as introduced and before this committee, by deleting the portions stricken through and replacing with the underlined portions.

This amendment revises section 2 and adds a new section 12 to reflect additional appropriations of \$53,084 for the Marion County Sheriff's Department from the Federal Grants fund, and renumbers sections 13 through 17 accordingly. It also adjusts the amount of the transfers within the IMPD General fund, as shown in sections 13 and 14 below.

Shari Day

Councilor

Date: 8-15-09

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. , 2009

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 2009 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 54, 2008; City-County) by appropriating One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) in the IMPD General Fund; Two Million Thirty Eight Thousand Dollars (\$2,038,000) in the Fire Cumulative Capital Development Fund; Three Million Five Hundred Eighty Five Thousand Seven Hundred and One Dollars (\$3,585,701) in the City Cumulative Capital Development Fund; Three Million One Hundred Seventy Six Thousand Eight Hundred Nineteen Dollars (\$3,176,819) in the City Federal Grants Fund; Seven Hundred Twenty Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Four Dollars (\$723,754) in the County General Fund; Six Million Six Hundred Thirty Three Thousand Two Hundred Ninety Nine Dollars (\$6,633,299) in the Juvenile Incarceration Debt Fund; Two Thousand Five Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$2,560) in the County Grants Fund; Seventy One Thousand Four Hundred Dollars (\$71,400) in the (City) State Law Enforcement Fund; and Fifty Three Thousand Eighty-four Dollars (\$53,084) in the county's Federal Grants Fund, for purposes of those departments and agencies listed below in sections 3 through 14. In addition, amends the City-County Annual Budget for 2009 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 54, 2008; City-County) by transferring funds between characters throughout various city and county agencies.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since its adoption, the City-County Annual Budget for 2009 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the following departments and agencies listed below in sections 3 through 14 to fund the following:

(adds a new section)

Section 12

The Marion County Sheriff's Department for the purchase of bullet proof vests, to enhance training for dealing with sexual offenders, and for overtime for jail deputies to provide security for female inmate educational classes, all funded by federal grants.

Section 12 and 13 13 and 14

Various transfers between characters for numerous agencies and departments

SECTION 2. The sum of Sixteen Million Three Hundred ~~Thirty One~~ Eighty Four Thousand ~~Five Six~~ Hundred and ~~Thirty Three~~ Seventeen Dollars (~~\$16,331,533~~ (16,384,617)) be, and the same appropriated for the purposes as shown in sections 3 through 11.

SECTION 12. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>MARION COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
1. Personal Services	30,502
2. Supplies	7,192
3. Other Services and Charges	15,390
4. Capital Outlay	0
5. Internal Charges	0
TOTAL INCREASE	53,084

The said increased appropriation is funded by new revenues, not previously appropriated, that will be deposited into the following fund:

	<u>FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
<u>New revenues supporting the appropriations in Section 10</u>	53,084
TOTAL	53,084

SECTION 12 13. The following increased appropriations are hereby approved: (only the IMPD General portion is being amended)

<u>INDIANAPOLIS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>IMPD GENERAL FUND</u>
1. Personal Services	2,100,000 3,300,000
2. Supplies	0
3. Other Services and Charges	0
4. Capital Outlay	0
5. Internal Charges	0
TOTAL INCREASE	2,100,000 <u>3,300,000</u>

SECTION 13. 14 The said increased appropriations in Section 12 13 are funded by the following reductions: (only the IMPD General portion is being amended)

<u>INDIANAPOLIS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>IMPD GENERAL FUND</u>
1. Personal Services	0
2. Supplies	0 200,000
3. Other Services and Charges	0 <u>250,000</u>
4. Capital Outlay	0
5. Internal Charges	<u>2,100,000</u> 2,850,000
TOTAL DECREASE	2,100,000 <u>3,300,000</u>

SECTION 14. 15 In accordance with section 151-64 of the revised code of the Consolidated City and County, the following fund balance information is provided:

SECTION 14. 16 Except to the extent of matching funds approved in the ordinance, the council does not intend to use the revenues from any local tax regardless of source to supplement or extend the appropriations for the agencies or projects authorized by this ordinance. The supervisor of the agency or project, or both, and the controller are directed to notify in writing the city-county council immediately upon receipt of any information that the agency or project is, or may be, reduced or eliminated.

SECTION 15. 17 This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal 311 - 2009

Additional Appropriations and Transfers to the 2009 Budget

Overview of Proposal 311

- ▶ Provides for additional funds to various city and county agencies
- ▶ Provides for transfers among characters within city and county agencies' 2009 budgets
- ▶ Affects multiple funds throughout the city and county
- ▶ Fund Balances represent projected 2009 budget balances in 2010 Budget Ordinance (Proposal 321 – 2009) and factors in Proposal 311 - 2009
- ▶ Amends the 2009 Budget Ordinance only

Agencies Impacted by Prop 311

- ▶ Public Defender, Prosecutor, Courts
- ▶ Coroner, Community Corrections, MECA, Sheriff's Department
- ▶ IMPD, IFD, EMA, DPS Director's Office
- ▶ Parks Department, Public Works
- ▶ County Administrator, County Assessor, ISA
- ▶ Mayor's Office, Corporation Counsel, OFM

- ▶ Impacts many funds appropriated to agencies, both tax supported, fee based and grants supported

Proposal 311 Sections

- ▶ Sections 3 through 12 appropriate approximately \$16.3M
 - ▶ \$13.1M is from tax supported funds (County General, Fire Cum, City Cum, Juvenile Incarceration Debt)
 - ▶ \$3.2M is from grant funds (federal, state and local grants)
- ▶ Sections 13 and 14 transfer appropriations between major spending characters
 - ▶ No fiscal impact

Section 3 – Public Defender

- ▶ Appropriates \$538,754 from the County General Fund
- ▶ Funds will be used to cover costs related to death penalty cases
- ▶ Currently, one death penalty case is expected to begin in 2009 and two in 2010
- ▶ Costs are related to preparation for cases by the Public Defender in 2009

Section 4 - IFD

- ▶ Appropriates \$2,038,000 from the Fire Cum Fund
 - ▶ Shifts funding from the City Cum fund to the Fire Cum Fund
 - ▶ Allows IFD to spend capital improvement funds out of Fire Cum Fund in 2009
 - ▶ Does not change the original 2009 budgeted amount for capital for IFD
- ▶ Appropriates \$426,819 from the Federal Grants Fund
 - ▶ Covers costs related to the operation of Task Force One and fire training purchases funded through federal grants

Section 5 – Parks and Recreation

- ▶ Appropriates \$3.5 M from the City Cumulative Capital Fund
- ▶ Provides Parks with additional funds beyond the 2009 budgeted capital appropriations
- ▶ Funds will be used for the repair, overhaul and improvement of various pools throughout the county
- ▶ One time expense in 2009
- ▶ Reduces costs in 2010

Section 6 – County Administrator

- ▶ Appropriates \$6,633,299 from the Juvenile Incarceration Debt Service Fund
- ▶ Allows the County to pay Juvenile debt to the State in 2009
- ▶ Final payment of debt schedule
- ▶ Levy eliminated in 2010

Section 7 – County Coroner

- ▶ Appropriates \$185,000 from the County General Fund
 - ▶ Provides the Coroner with funds to pay legal settlement costs in 2009 for lawsuits settled
 - ▶ Covers costs associated with Character I for pay raises and termination payouts to staff
 - ▶ Covers costs associated with Toxicology contracts
- ▶ Appropriates \$2,560 from the County Grants Fund
 - ▶ Allows for funding related to the award of Crime Prevention Grants passed through to the Coroner's Office

Section 8 – OFM

- ▶ Appropriates \$85,701 from the City Cumulative Capital Development Fund
- ▶ Provides for the payment of interest related to Tax Anticipation Warrants (TAW) not budgeted in 2009
- ▶ OFM has historically covered interest paid from the City Cumulative Capital Development Fund related to TAWs due to multiple agencies using this fund

Section 9 – IMPD

- ▶ Appropriates \$100,000 from the IMPD General Fund
- ▶ Provides for additional funds due to IMPD receiving Crime Prevention Grant Funds
- ▶ Funds will be used for crime watch activities

Section 10 – Emergency Management

- ▶ Appropriates \$2,750,000 from the Federal Grants Fund
- ▶ Provides funding for homeland security initiatives
- ▶ Funding for such initiatives provided through the Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) Grant

Section 11 – DPS Director’s Office

- ▶ Appropriates \$71,400 from the State Law Enforcement Fund
- ▶ Funds will be used to allow access to databases
- ▶ Databases will be used to identify merchandise and/or persons suspected in crimes against property, or in which property was involved

Section 12 – Sheriff's Department

- ▶ Appropriates \$53,084 from the Federal Grants Fund
- ▶ Funds will be used to:
 - ▶ Purchase bullet proof vests
 - ▶ Enhanced training for dealing with sexual offenders
 - ▶ Overtime for jail deputies to provide security for female inmate education classes

Section 13 and 14 – Transfers

- ▶ Section 13 increases the appropriation to various characters within city and county agencies' 2009 budgets
- ▶ Section 14 decreases the appropriation to various characters within city and county agencies' 2009 budgets
- ▶ No fiscal impact
- ▶ Shifting current budgeted funds between characters

Wrap UP

- ▶ Provides additional funds to agencies in 2009
- ▶ A mix of tax supported funds and grant funds
- ▶ Fund balances represent projected 2009 ending fund balances
- ▶ No fiscal impact for character transfers